



NOD32

antivirus system

How Does Yours Compare?

You buy anti-virus software to protect your computers/data which is the sensible thing to do but how effective is it?

Virus Bulletin are full time professional, independent antivirus experts and are widely respected within the antivirus industry for their rigorous comparative tests focusing on virus detection rates, scanning speed, and generation of false positives. To earn a Virus Bulletin 100% Award, an antivirus product must detect all of the current "In the Wild" viruses and not produce false positives.

NOD32 is the only solution worldwide that has consistently never missed a single "In the Wild" worm or virus in this testing since May 1998 and holds the world record of Virus Bulletin 100% Awards, obtaining the **42nd Award in February 2007**.

The table below is based on the number of times all of these products have been tested periodically on various operating systems with Virus Bulletin since May 1998 which is when NOD32 was first submitted to them for testing.

| Anti Virus Software Brand/Product | Times Tested Since May '98 | Tests Failed | Tests Passed | Success Ratio % |
|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|------------------------|
| NOD32 from ESET | 44 | 2 | 42 | 95.45% |
| Norton/Symantec Antivirus | 39 | 3 | 36 | 92.30% |
| Kaspersky AVP | 47 | 11 | 36 | 76.59% |
| Trend PC-Cillin/SvrPro | 20 | 5 | 15 | 75.00% |
| Sophos | 45 | 11 | 34 | 75.55% |
| Norman Virus Control | 46 | 12 | 34 | 73.91% |
| CA Vet | 38 | 10 | 28 | 73.68% |
| MicroWorld/eScan | 14 | 4 | 10 | 71.42% |
| CA InoculateIT/eTrust | 35 | 10 | 25 | 71.42% |
| F-Secure FSAV | 35 | 11 | 24 | 68.57% |
| Softwin AVX/BitDefender | 19 | 6 | 13 | 68.42% |
| McAfee | 45 | 17 | 28 | 62.22% |
| Frisk F-Prot | 27 | 10 | 17 | 62.96% |
| Dr Web32/Dialogue Science | 42 | 17 | 25 | 59.52% |
| H+BEDV Antivir | 14 | 6 | 8 | 57.14% |
| Alwil Avast32 | 37 | 16 | 21 | 56.75% |
| Command/Authentium | 33 | 15 | 18 | 54.54% |
| GDATA | 22 | 10 | 12 | 54.54% |
| VirusBuster | 33 | 16 | 17 | 51.51% |
| Microsoft OneCare | 2 | 1 | 1 | 50.00% |
| Grisoft AVG | 35 | 19 | 16 | 45.71% |
| GeCAD RAV | 23 | 17 | 6 | 26.08% |
| Panda | 4 | 3 | 1 | 25.00% |
| Hauri/ViRobot | 11 | 10 | 1 | 9.09% |

Symantec are running second in this table although in the same testing, nod32 scanning times are considerably faster, despite the fact nod32 has a much smaller system footprint and uses far less system resource. Incidentally, Symantec also failed the 3 Virus Bulletin tests prior to nod32 making its debut. That brings their overall success ratio down to 85.36%

Where anti-virus software is concerned, consistency is the key to successful virus protection!

(Figures correct as at February 2007 E&OE)